1. Guidance

Overview

Note on entering information into this template

Throughout the template, cells which are open for input have a yellow background and those that are pre-populated have a blue background, as below:

Data needs inputting in the cell

Pre-populated cells

Note on viewing the sheets optimally

For a more optimal view each of the sheets and in particular the drop down lists clearly on screen, please change the zoom level between 90% - 100%. Most drop downs are also available to view as lists within the relevant sheet or in the guidance sheet for readability if required.

The details of each sheet within the template are outlined below.

Checklist (click to go to Checklist, included in the Cover sheet)

- 1. This section helps identify the sheets that have not been completed. All fields that appear as incomplete should be completed before sending to the Better Care Fund Team.
- 2. The checker column, which can be found on the individual sheets, updates automatically as questions are completed. It will appear 'Red' and contain the word 'No' if the information has not been completed. Once completed the checker column will change to 'Green' and contain the word 'Yes'
- 3. The 'sheet completed' cell will update when all 'checker' values for the sheet are green containing the word 'Yes'.
- 4. Once the checker column contains all cells marked 'Yes' the 'Incomplete Template' cell (below the title) will change to 'Template Complete'.
- 5. Please ensure that all boxes on the checklist are green before submission.

2. Cover (click to go to sheet)

- 1. The cover sheet provides essential information on the area for which the template is being completed, contacts and sign off.
- 2. Question completion tracks the number of questions that have been completed; when all the questions in each section of the template have been completed the cell will turn green. Only when all cells are green should the template be sent to the Better Care Fund Team: england.bettercarefundteam@nhs.net

(please also copy in your respective Better Care Manager)

4. Income (click to go to sheet)

- 1. This sheet should be used to specify all funding contributions to the Health and Wellbeing Board's (HWB) Better Care Fund (BCF) plan and pooled budget for 2021-22. It will be pre-populated with the minimum CCG contributions to the BCF, Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) and improved Better Care Fund (IBCF). These cannot be edited.
- 2. Please select whether any additional contributions to the BCF pool are being made from local authorities or the CCGs and as applicable enter the amounts in the fields highlighted in 'yellow'. These will appear as funding sources when planning expenditure. The fields for Additional contributions can be used to include any relevant carry-overs from the previous year.
- 3. Please use the comment boxes alongside to add any specific detail around this additional contribution including any relevant carry-overs assigned from previous years. All allocations are rounded to the nearest pound.
- 4. For any questions regarding the BCF funding allocations, please contact england.bettercarefundteam@nhs.net

5. Expenditure (click to go to sheet)

This sheet should be used to set out the schemes that constitute the BCF plan for the HWB including the planned expenditure and the attributes to describe the scheme. This information is then aggregated and used to analyse the BCF plans nationally and sets the basis for future reporting and to particularly demonstrate that National Conditions 2 and 3 are met.

The table is set out to capture a range of information about how schemes are being funded and the types of services they are providing. There may be scenarios when several lines need to be completed in order to fully describe a single scheme or where a scheme is funded by multiple funding streams (eg: iBCF and CCG minimum). In this case please use a consistent scheme ID for each line to ensure integrity of aggregating and analysing schemes.

On this sheet please enter the following information:

1. Scheme ID:

- This field only permits numbers. Please enter a number to represent the Scheme ID for the scheme being entered. Please enter the same Scheme ID in this column for any schemes that are described across multiple rows.

Scheme Name:

- This is a free text field to aid identification during the planning process. Please use the scheme name consistently if the scheme is described across multiple lines in line with the scheme ID described above.
- 3. Brief Description of Scheme
- This is a free text field to include a brief headline description of the scheme being planned.
- 4. Scheme Type and Sub Type:
- Please select the Scheme Type from the drop-down list that best represents the type of scheme being planned. A description of each scheme is available in tab 5b.
- Where the Scheme Types has further options to choose from, the Sub Type column alongside will be editable and turn "yellow". Please select the Sub Type from the drop down list that best describes the scheme being planned.
- Please note that the drop down list has a scroll bar to scroll through the list and all the options may not appear in one view.
- If the scheme is not adequately described by the available options, please choose 'Other' and add a free field description for the scheme type in the column alongside. Please try to use pre-populated scheme types and sub types where possible, as this data is important to our understanding of how BCF funding is being used and levels of investment against different priorities.
- The template includes a field that will inform you when more than 5% of mandatory spend is classed as other.
- 5. Area of Spend:
- Please select the area of spend from the drop-down list by considering the area of the health and social care system which is most supported by investing in the scheme
- Please note that where 'Social Care' is selected and the source of funding is "CCG minimum" then the planned spend would count towards National Condition 2.
- If the scheme is not adequately described by the available options, please choose 'Other' and add a free field description for the scheme type in the column alongside.
- We encourage areas to try to use the standard scheme types where possible.

6. Commissioner:

- Identify the commissioning body for the scheme based on who is responsible for commissioning the scheme from the provider.
- Please note this field is utilised in the calculations for meeting National Condition 3.
- If the scheme is commissioned jointly, please select 'Joint'. Please estimate the proportion of the scheme being commissioned by the local authority and CCG/NHS and enter the respective percentages on the two columns.

7. Provider:

- Please select the 'Provider' commissioned to provide the scheme from the drop-down list.
- If the scheme is being provided by multiple providers, please split the scheme across multiple lines.
- 8. Source of Funding
- Based on the funding sources for the BCF pool for the HWB, please select the source of funding for the scheme from the drop down list. This includes additional, voluntarily pooled contributions from either the CCG or Local authority
- If the scheme is funding across multiple sources of funding, please split the scheme across multiple lines, reflecting the financial contribution from each.

9. Expenditure (£) 2021-22:

- Please enter the planned spend for the scheme (or the scheme line, if the scheme is expressed across multiple lines)
- 10. New/Existing Scheme
- Please indicate whether the planned scheme is a new scheme for this year or an existing scheme being carried forward.

This is the only detailed information on BCF schemes being collected centrally for 2021-22 and will inform the understanding of planned spend for the iBCF grant and spend from BCF sources on discharge.

6. Metrics (click to go to sheet)

This sheet should be used to set out the HWB's performance plans for each of the BCF metrics in 2021-22. The BCF requires trajectories and plans agreed for the fund's metrics. Systems should review current performance and set realistic, but stretching ambitions for the last two quarters of 2021-22. The previous measure of Non Elective Admissions is being replaced with a measure of Unplanned Admissions for Chronic Ambulatory Care Sensitive Conditions. Performance data on this indicator up to 2019-20, by local authority can be found at:

 $\label{lem:https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistical/nhs-outcomes-framework/february-2021/domain-2-enhancing-quality-of-life-for-people-with-long-term-conditions-nof/2.3.i-unplanned-hospitalisation-for-chronic-ambulatory-care-sensitive-conditions$

A data pack showing breakdowns of data for new metrics (discharge and avoidable admissions) is available on the Better Care Exchange.

For each metric, systems should include a narrative that describes:

- a rationale for the ambition set, based on current and recent data, planned activity and expected demand
- how BCF funded schemes and integrated care will support performance against this metric, including any new or amended services.
- 1. Unplanned admissions for chronic ambulatory sensitive conditions:
- This section requires the area to input a planned rate for these admissions, per hundred thousand people for the year. This is the current NHS Outcomes Framework indicator 2.3i.
- The numerator is calculated based on the expected number of unplanned admissions for ambulatory sensitive conditions during the year.
- The denominator is the local population based on Census mid year population estimates for the HWB.
- Technical definitions for the guidance can be found here:

https://files.digital.nhs.uk/A0/76B7F6/NHSOF Domain 2 S.pdf

2. Length of Stay.

- Areas should agree ambitions for minimising the proportion of patients in acute hospital who have been an inpatient for 14 days or more and the number that have been an inpatient for 21 days or more. This metric should be expressed as a percentage of overall patients.
- The ambition should be set for the HWB area. The data for this metric is obtained from the Secondary Uses Service (SUS) database and is collected at hospital trust. A breakdown of data from SUS by local authority of residence has been made available on the Better Care Exchange to assist areas to set ambitions. Ambitions should be set as the average percentage of inpatient beds occupied by patients with a length of stay of 14 days and over and 21 days and over for Q3 2021-22 and for Q4 2021-22 for people resident in the HWB.
- Plans should be agreed between CCGs, Local Authorities and Hospital Trusts and areas should ensure that ambitions agreed for 21 days or more are consistent across Local Trusts and BCF plans.
- The narrative should set out the approach that has been taken to agreeing and aligning plans for this metric
- 3. Discharge to normal place of residence.
- Areas should agree ambitions for the percentage of people who are discharged to their normal place of residence following an inpatient stay.
- The ambition should be set for the healthand wellbeing board area. The data for this metric is obtained from the Secondary Uses Service database and is collected at hospital trust. A breakdown of data from SUS by local authority of residence has been made available on the Better Care Exchange to assist areas to set ambitions. Ambitions should be set as the percentage of all discharges where the destination of discharge is the person's usual place of residence.
- 4. Residential Admissions (RES) planning:
- This section requires inputting the information for the numerator of the measure.
- Please enter the planned number of council-supported older people (aged 65 and over) whose long-term support needs will be met by a change of setting to residential and nursing care during the year (excluding transfers between residential and nursing care) for the Residential Admissions numerator measure
- The prepopulated denominator of the measure is the size of the older people population in the area (aged 65 and over) taken from Office for National Statistics (ONS) subnational population projections.
- The annual rate is then calculated and populated based on the entered information.
- 5. Reablement planning:
- This section requires inputting the information for the numerator and denominator of the measure.
- Please enter the planned denominator figure, which is the planned number of older people discharged from hospital to their own home for rehabilitation (or from hospital to a residential or nursing care home or extra care housing for rehabilitation, with a clear intention that they will move on/back to their own home).
- Please then enter the planned numerator figure, which is the planned number of older people discharged from hospital to their own home for rehabilitation (from within the denominator) that will still be at home 91 days after discharge.
- The annual proportion (%) Reablement measure will then be calculated and populated based on this information.

7. Planning Requirements (click to go to sheet

This sheet requires the Health & Wellbeing Board to confirm whether the National Conditions and other Planning Requirements detailed in the BCF Policy Framework and the BCF Requirements document are met. Please refer to the BCF Policy Framework and BCF Planning Requirements documents for 2021-22 for further details.

The sheet also sets out where evidence for each Key Line of Enquiry (KLOE) will be taken from.

The KLOEs underpinning the Planning Requirements are also provided for reference as they will be utilised to assure plans by the regional assurance panel.

- 1. For each Planning Requirement please select 'Yes' or 'No' to confirm whether the requirement is met for the BCF Plan.
- 2. Where the confirmation selected is 'No', please use the comments boxes to include the actions in place towards meeting the requirement and the target timeframes.

2. Cover







Version 1.0 Please Note:

- You are reminded that much of the data in this template, to which you have privileged access, is management information only and is not in the public domain. It is not to be shared more widely than is necessary to complete the return.
- Please prevent inappropriate use by treating this information as restricted, refrain from passing information on to others and use it only for the purposes for which it is Research in the BCF Planning Requirements for 2021-22.
- This template is password protected to ensure data integrity and accurate aggregation of collected information. A resubmission may be required if this is breached.

| Health and Wellbeing Board: | Tower Hamlets | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Completed by: | Suki Kaur and Phil Carr | | |
| E-mail: | Suki.Kaur1@nhs.net and I | Phil.Carr@towerhamlets.gov.uk | |
| Contact number: | 0207 688 2356 | | |
| Please indicate who is signing off the plan for submission on behalf of the | | ilso accepted): | |
| Job Title: | Corporate Director of Hea | Ith, Adults & Community and Transition | |
| Name: | Denise Radley and Siobhan Harper | | |
| | | | |
| Has this plan been signed off by the HWB at the time of submission? | Delegated authority pend | ing full HWB meeting | |
| If no, or if sign-off is under delegated authority, please indicate when the | | << Please enter using the format, DD/MM/YYYY | |
| HWB is expected to sign off the plan: | Thu 01/12/2022 | Please note that plans cannot be formally approved and Section 75 agreements cannot be | |
| · | · | finalised until a plan, signed off by the HWB has been submitted. | |

| | | Professional Title (where | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|--------------|----------|---------------------------------------|
| | Role: | applicable) | First-name: | Surname: | E-mail: |
| *Area Assurance Contact Details: | Health and Wellbeing Board Chair | Councillor | Rachel | | Rachel.Blake@towerhamle ts.gov.uk |
| | | NEL CCGs Accountable | Henry | Black | henryblack@nhs.net |
| | Additional Clinical Commissioning Group(s) Accountable Officers | Transitional Director - | Siobhan | Harper | siobhanharper@nhs.net |
| | Local Authority Chief Executive | Chief Executive | Will | | Will.Tuckley@towerhamlet s.gov.uk |
| | | Corporate Director of | Denise | · ' | Denise.Radley@towerhaml ets.gov.uk |
| | Better Care Fund Lead Official | | Phil Suki | | Phil.Carr@towerhamlets.g ov.uk and |
| | LA Section 151 Officer | Corporate Director | Kevin | Bartle | Kevin.Bartle@towerhamlet .gov.uk |
| Please add further area contacts that you would wish to be included | | | | | |
| in official correspondence> | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

^{*}Only those identified will be addressed in official correspondence (such as approval letters). Please ensure all individuals are satisfied with the information entered above as this is exactly how they will appear in correspondence.

Question Completion - When all questions have been answered and the validation boxes below have turned green, please send the template to the Better Care Fund Team england.bettercarefundteam@nhs.net saving the file as 'Name HWB' for example 'County Durham HWB'. Please also copy in your Better Care Manager.

| | Complete: |
|--------------------------|-----------|
| 2. Cover | Yes |
| 4. Income | Yes |
| 5a. Expenditure | Yes |
| 6. Metrics | Yes |
| 7. Planning Requirements | Yes |

^^ Link back to top

3. Summary

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Tower Hamlets

Income & Expenditure

Income >>

| Funding Sources | Income | Expenditure | Difference |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| DFG | £2,320,693 | £2,320,693 | £0 |
| Minimum CCG Contribution | £23,145,037 | £23,145,037 | £0 |
| iBCF | £16,316,044 | £16,316,044 | £0 |
| Additional LA Contribution | £774,839 | £774,839 | £0 |
| Additional CCG Contribution | £13,404,970 | £13,404,970 | £0 |
| Total | £55,961,583 | £55,961,583 | £0 |

Expenditure >>

NHS Commissioned Out of Hospital spend from the minimum CCG allocation

| Minimum required spend | £6,577,163 |
|------------------------|-------------|
| Planned spend | £14,060,501 |

Adult Social Care services spend from the minimum CCG allocations

| Minimum required spend | £9,098,111 |
|------------------------|------------|
| Planned spend | £9,639,946 |

Scheme Types

| Scheme Types | | |
|---|-------------|---------|
| Assistive Technologies and Equipment | £2,184,000 | (3.9%) |
| Care Act Implementation Related Duties | £0 | (0.0%) |
| Carers Services | £662,000 | (1.2%) |
| Community Based Schemes | £18,290,200 | (32.7%) |
| DFG Related Schemes | £2,320,693 | (4.1%) |
| Enablers for Integration | £276,786 | (0.5%) |
| High Impact Change Model for Managing Transfer of | £3,061,308 | (5.5%) |
| Home Care or Domiciliary Care | £0 | (0.0%) |
| Housing Related Schemes | £0 | (0.0%) |
| Integrated Care Planning and Navigation | £23,746,297 | (42.4%) |
| Bed based intermediate Care Services | £2,425,271 | (4.3%) |
| Reablement in a persons own home | £2,349,289 | (4.2%) |
| Personalised Budgeting and Commissioning | £0 | (0.0%) |
| Personalised Care at Home | £0 | (0.0%) |
| Prevention / Early Intervention | £645,739 | (1.2%) |
| Residential Placements | £0 | (0.0%) |
| Other | £0 | (0.0%) |
| Total | £55,961,583 | |

Metrics >>

Avoidable admissions

| 21-22 | 20-21 |
|-------|--------|
| Plan | Actual |

| Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive | | |
|---|-------|-------|
| conditions | 251.3 | 239.4 |
| (NHS Outcome Framework indicator 2.3i) | | |

Length of Stay

| | | 21-22 Q3 | 21-22 Q4 |
|--|---------|----------|----------|
| | | Plan | Plan |
| Percentage of in patients, resident in the HWB, who have been an inpatient in an acute hospital for: i) 14 days or more | LOS 14+ | 8.0% | 8.1% |
| ii) 21 days or more As a percentage of all inpatients | LOS 21+ | 4.4% | 4.4% |

Discharge to normal place of residence

| | | 21-22 |
|--|------|-------|
| | 0 | Plan |
| Percentage of people, resident in the HWB, who are discharged from | | |
| acute hospital to their normal place of residence | 0.0% | 96.5% |
| | | |

Residential Admissions

| | 20-21 | 21-22 |
|--|--------|-------|
| | Actual | Plan |
| Long-term support needs of older people (age 65 and | | |
| over) met by admission to residential and nursing care Annual Rate | 317 | 350 |
| homes, per 100,000 population | | |

Reablement

| | 21-22 Plan |
|---|---------------|
| Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were | |
| still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into Annual (%) | 77.2% |
| reablement / rehabilitation services | |

Planning Requirements >>

| Theme | Code | Response |
|--|------|----------|
| | PR1 | Yes |
| NC1: Jointly agreed plan | PR2 | Yes |
| | PR3 | Yes |
| NC2: Social Care Maintenance | PR4 | Yes |
| NC3: NHS commissioned Out of Hospital Services | PR5 | Yes |
| NC4: Plan for improving outcomes for people being discharged from hospital | PR6 | Yes |

| Agreed expenditure plan for all elements of the BCF | PR7 | Yes |
|---|-----|-----|
| Metrics | PR8 | Yes |

4. Income

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Tower Hamlets

| Local Authority Contribution | |
|--|---------------------------|
| | |
| Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) | Gross Contribution |
| Tower Hamlets | £2,320,693 |
| | |
| DFG breakerdown for two-tier areas only (where applicable) | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Total Minimum LA Contribution (exc iBCF) | £2,320,693 |

| iBCF Contribution | Contribution |
|-------------------------|--------------|
| Tower Hamlets | £16,316,044 |
| | |
| Total iBCF Contribution | £16,316,044 |

Are any additional LA Contributions being made in 2021-22? If yes, please detail below

| | | Comments - Please use this box clarify any specific |
|---|--------------|---|
| Local Authority Additional Contribution | Contribution | uses or sources of funding |
| Tower Hamlets | £774,839 | various schemes as set out in tab 5a. Expenditure |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total Additional Local Authority Contribution | £774,839 | |

| CCG Minimum Contribution | Contribution |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| NHS Tower Hamlets CCG | £23,145,037 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Total Minimum CCG Contribution | £23,145,037 |

Are any additional CCG Contributions being made in 2021-22? If yes, please detail below

| Additional CCG Contribution | | Comments - Please use this box clarify any specific uses or sources of funding |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--|
| NHS Tower Hamlets CCG | | various schemes as set out in tab 5a. Expenditure |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total Additional CCG Contribution | £13,404,970 | |
| Total CCG Contribution | £36,550,007 | |

Total BCF Pooled Budget 2021-22 £55,961,583

| Funding Contributions Comments | |
|--|--|
| Optional for any useful detail e.g. Carry over | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

5. Expenditure

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Tower Hamlets

<< Link to summary sheet

| Running Balances | Income | Expenditure | Balance |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| DFG | £2,320,693 | £2,320,693 | £0 |
| Minimum CCG Contribution | £23,145,037 | £23,145,037 | £0 |
| iBCF | £16,316,044 | £16,316,044 | £0 |
| Additional LA Contribution | £774,839 | £774,839 | £0 |
| Additional CCG Contribution | £13,404,970 | £13,404,970 | £0 |
| Total | £55,961,583 | £55,961,583 | £0 |

Required Spend

This is in relation to National Conditions 2 and 3 only. It does NOT make up the total Minimum CCG Contribution (on row 31 above).

| | Minimum Required Spend | Planned Spend | Under Spend |
|---|------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| NHS Commissioned Out of Hospital spend from the minimum | | | |
| CCG allocation | £6,577,163 | £14,060,501 | £0 |
| Adult Social Care services spend from the minimum CCG | | | |
| allocations | £9,098,111 | £9,639,946 | £0 |

| Checklist | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|-----|---------|-------|
| Column complete: | | | | | | | |
| Yes Yes Yes | Yes | Yes Yes | Yes Yes | Yes Yes | Yes | Yes Yes | S Yes |
| Sheet complete | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | Plani | ned Expenditure | | | | |
|--------------|---|--|---|---|--|---------------|--|--------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|
| Scheme ID | Scheme Name | Brief Description of Scheme | Scheme Type | Sub Types | Please specify if 'Scheme Type' is 'Other' | Area of Spend | Please specify if 'Area of Spend' is 'other' | Commissioner | % NHS (if Joint Commissioner) | % LA (if Joint Commissioner) | | Source of Funding | Expenditure (£) | New/ Existing Scheme |
| 1 | Reablement | Reablement Team | Reablement in a persons own home | Reablement to support discharge step down | | Social Care | | LA | | | Local Authority | Minimum CCG Contribution | £2,349,289 | Existing |
| 2 | Community Health Team (Social Care) | | Integrated Care Planning and Navigation | Care navigation and planning | | Social Care | | LA | | | Local Authority | Minimum CCG Contribution | £1,300,378 | Existing |
| 3 | 7 day hospital social work team | | High Impact Change Model for Managing | Multi- Disciplinary/Multi- Agency Discharge | | Social Care | | LA | | | Local Authority | Minimum CCG Contribution | £1,665,152 | Existing |
| 4 | _ | • • | Integrated Care Planning and Navigation | Assessment teams/joint assessment | | Social Care | | LA | | | Local Authority | Minimum CCG Contribution | £110,778 | Existing |
| 5 | Community Equipment Service | Council contribution to Medequip contract | Assistive Technologies and Equipment | Community based equipment | | Social Care | | LA | | | Private Sector | Additional LA Contribution | £454,100 | Existing |
| 6 | | CCG contribution to Medequip contract | Assistive Technologies and Equipment | Community based equipment | | Social Care | | LA | | | Private Sector | Additional CCG Contribution | £322,000 | Existing |
| 7 | Equipment Service | | Assistive Technologies and Equipment | Community based equipment | | Social Care | | LA | | | Local Authority | Minimum CCG Contribution | £1,407,900 | Existing |

| 8 | Carers support | Support for carers | Carers Services | Respite services | Social Care | LA | | 0 | Charity / | Minimum CCG | £662,000 | Existing |
|-----|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----|---|----|---|----------------|--------------|----------|
| J | Carers support | | carers services | nespite services | Social care | | | | /oluntary Sector | | 2002,000 | ZXISTING |
| | | | | | | | | | • | | | |
| 9 | Local Authority | Coordination support for | Enablers for | Programme | Social Care | LA | | l | ocal Authority | Minimum CCG | £242,253 | Existing |
| | Support for Health | integration of health and | Integration | management | | | | | | Contribution | | |
| | and Social Care | social care | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Dementia | Outreach service for the | Community Based | Integrated | Social Care | LA | | (| Charity / | Minimum CCG | £79,800 | Existing |
| | Diagnosis and | diagnosis of dementia in | Schemes | neighbourhood | | | | \ | /oluntary Sector | Contribution | | |
| | Community | the community | | services | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Social Work | Social worker input to | Community Based | Integrated | Social Care | LA | | l | ocal Authority | Minimum CCG | £57,028 | Existing |
| | Support for the | the memory clinic | Schemes | neighbourhood | | | | | | Contribution | | |
| | Memory Clinic | · | | services | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | community contract | Prevention / Early | Social Prescribing | Social Care | LA | | (| Charity / | Minimum CCG | £325,000 | Existing |
| | contribution | ., ., ., | Intervention | | | | | | /oluntary Sector | | , | |
| | | | | | | | | | , | | | |
| 13 | LinkAge Plus - | community contract | Prevention / Early | Social Prescribing | Social Care | LA | | (| Charity / | Additional LA | £320,739 | Existing |
| | LBTH contribution | · | Intervention | | 000.0. | | | | /oluntary Sector | | | |
| | LB III COIILI IBULIOII | | intervention | | | | | | olantal y Sector | Contribution | | |
| 14 | Adult Learning | shared lives, developing | Community Based | Multidisciplinary | Social Care | LA | | | ocal Authority | Minimum CCG | £253,521 | Now |
| 14 | Disability Services | | Schemes | teams that are | Jocial Care | | | | ocal Authority | Contribution | 1233,321 | INCW |
| | Disability Services | hospital admissions & | Scrienies | supporting | | | | | | Contribution | | |
| 15 | Initial Assassment | • | High Impact | | Social Care | LA | + | | NHS Mental | Minimum CCG | £122,033 | Now |
| 13 | | | High Impact | Early Discharge | Social Care | LA | | | | | 1122,033 | ivew |
| | Service | _ | Change Model for | Planning | | | | ľ | Health Provider | Contribution | | |
| 4.6 | 44 41 B C | | Managing | 5 1 5: 1 | 0 1 1 0 | | | | | | 200 227 | |
| 16 | AMHP Service | | High Impact | Early Discharge | Social Care | LA | | | NHS Mental | Minimum CCG | £66,327 | New |
| | | _ | Change Model for | Planning | | | | | Health Provider | Contribution | | |
| | | | Managing | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | Integrated Care | Assessment | Social Care | LA | | lι | ocal Authority | Minimum CCG | £30,000 | New |
| | Development - OT | · | Planning and | teams/joint | | | | | | Contribution | | |
| | Joint Practice Lead | | Navigation | assessment | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Locality | CCG and council | Community Based | - | Social Care | LA | | l | ocal Authority | Minimum CCG | £413,077 | New |
| | Development | contribution to schemes | Schemes | neighbourhood | | | | | | Contribution | | |
| | Fund | supporting integration at | | services | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Locality | CCG and council | Community Based | Integrated | Social Care | CCG | | (| CCG | Minimum CCG | £555,410 | New |
| | Development | contribution to schemes | Schemes | neighbourhood | | | | | | Contribution | | |
| | Fund | supporting integration at | | services | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Disabilties Fund | DFG | DFG Related | Adaptations, | Social Care | LA | | l | ocal Authority | DFG | £2,320,693 | Existing |
| | Grant | | Schemes | including | | | | | · | | | |
| | | | | statutory DFG | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | iBCF | iBCF | Community Based | | Social Care | LA | | ı | ocal Authority | iBCF | £16,316,044 | Existing |
| | | | Schemes | neighbourhood | | | | | , | | | |
| | | | Somernes | services | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Out of Borough | Social Worker post | High Impact | Multi- | Social Care | LA | | 1 | ocal Authority | Additional CCG | £61 200 | Existing |
| | | - | | Disciplinary/Multi- | Social care | L | | | ocal / tachonicy | Contribution | 201,200 | LXISTING |
| | | · · | Managing | Agency Discharge | | | | | | Contribution | | |
| 23 | | | High Impact | Multi- | Community | CCG | | , | CCG | Additional CCG | £02 £41 | Existing |
| 23 | _ | | | | 1 | cco | | • | | Contribution | 193,041 | Existing |
| | | | _ | Disciplinary/Multi- | Health | | | | | Contribution | | |
| 24 | | | Managing | Agency Discharge | Commercial: | ccc | | | IIIC Comment | Minimum CCC | CO 44 4 40 4 | Fui-ti- |
| 24 | | community health | Integrated Care | Assessment | Community | CCG | | | • | Minimum CCG | £9,414,434 | Existing |
| | Care Team | | Planning and | teams/joint | Health | | | | Provider | Contribution | | |
| | | | Navigation | assessment | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Extended Primary | · · | Integrated Care | Care navigation | Community | CCG | | | - | Additional CCG | £4,770,354 | Existing |
| | Care Team | | Planning and | and planning | Health | | | F | Provider | Contribution | | |
| | | | Navigation | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | Integrated Clinical | primary care based | Integrated Care | Care navigation | Primary Care | CCG | | | CCG | Minimum CCG | £1,382,624 | Existing |
| | and | schemes delivered via an | Planning and | and planning | | | | | | Contribution | | |
| | Commissioning | incentive scheme to | Navigation | | | | | | | | | |

| 27 | Intograted Clinical | primary care based | Intograted Care | Cara navigation | | Drimon, Coro | ccc | | ccc | Additional CCG | £3,216,625 | Fuiatina |
|----|---------------------|---|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|-----|--|------------------|----------------|------------|----------|
| 27 | | | _ | Care navigation | | Primary Care | CCG | | CCG | Contribution | 13,216,625 | Existing |
| | | schemes delivered via an incentive scheme to | Navigation | and planning | | | | | | Contribution | | |
| 28 | RAID | Mental health input to | Integrated Care | Care navigation | | Mental Health | ccg | | NHS Mental | Minimum CCG | £2,414,259 | Now |
| 20 | KAID | acute A&E | _ | and planning | | ivientai neaitii | cco | | Health Provider | Contribution | 12,414,239 | ivew |
| | | acute AQE | Navigation | and planning | | | | | nealth Provider | Contribution | | |
| 29 | Adult Autism and | mental health | Community Based | Intograted | | Mental Health | CCG | | NHS Mental | Additional CCG | £338,580 | Now |
| 29 | | inental nealth | · · | neighbourhood | | ivientai neaitii | cco | | | Contribution | 1336,360 | ivew |
| | Diagnostic | | Schemes | _ | | | | | nealth Provider | Contribution | | |
| 20 | Intervention | | Carran it Daned | services | | NA t - I I I I t h | ccc | | NUIC Mantal | Minimum CCC | C12C 740 | N |
| 30 | Mental Health | mental health support | Community Based | | | Mental Health | ccg | | NHS Mental | Minimum CCG | £126,740 | New |
| | Recovery College | | Schemes | neighbourhood | | | | | Health Provider | Contribution | | |
| | | | | services | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | Community | community Geriatrician | Integrated Care | Care navigation | | Community | CCG | | NHS Community | | £132,501 | New |
| | Geriatrician Team | | _ | and planning | | Health | | | Provider | Contribution | | |
| | | | Navigation | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | | mental health input to | Community Based | | | Primary Care | CCG | | NHS Mental | Additional CCG | £150,000 | New |
| | 1 1 | primary care | Schemes | neighbourhood | | | | | Health Provider | Contribution | | |
| | People with LTCs | | | services | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | St Joseph's | end of life | Bed based | Other | end of life care | Community | CCG | | Charity / | Additional CCG | £2,425,271 | New |
| | Hospice | | intermediate Care | | | Health | | | Voluntary Sector | Contribution | | |
| | | | Services | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | Barts Acute | end of life | Integrated Care | Care navigation | | Acute | CCG | | NHS Acute | Additional CCG | £974,344 | New |
| | Palliative Care | | Planning and | and planning | | | | | Provider | Contribution | | |
| | Team | | Navigation | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | | supporting discharge | High Impact | Home | | Community | CCG | | NHS Community | Additional CCG | £850,955 | New |
| | Avoidance | | Change Model for | | | Health | | | Provider | Contribution | , | |
| | Discharge Service | | Managing | Assess - process | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | | supporting discharge to | High Impact | Multi- | | Community | ccg | | Charity / | Additional CCG | £114,000 | New |
| 30 | _ | community | | Disciplinary/Multi- | | Health | | | Voluntary Sector | | 1114,000 | IVCW |
| | Service | Community | Managing | Agency Discharge | | Tieattii | | | Voluntary Sector | Continuation | | |
| 37 | | supporting discharge | High Impact | Housing and | | Acute | CCG | | NHS Acute | Additional CCG | £88,000 | Now |
| 37 | (overseen by CSU) | supporting discharge | Change Model for | | | Acute | cco | | Provider | Contribution | 188,000 | INCW |
| | (overseen by CSO) | | _ | related services | | | | | Provider | Contribution | | |
| 20 | contingonou/to bo | nort of Locality | Managing | | | Community | ccc | | NUIC Community | Minimum CCC | C24 F22 | Now |
| 38 | contingency (to be | | Enablers for | Integrated models | | Community | ccg | | NHS Community | | £34,533 | New |
| | allocated) | Development Fund | Integration | of provision | | Health | | | Provider | Contribution | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | l. | | | | | | | | | | |

2021-22 Revised Scheme types

| Number | Scheme type/ services |
|--------|--|
| 1 | Assistive Technologies and Equipment |
| 2 | Care Act Implementation Related Duties |
| 3 | Carers Services |
| 4 | Community Based Schemes |
| 5 | DFG Related Schemes |

| 6 | Enablers for Integration |
|---|--|
| 7 | High Impact Change Model for Managing Transfer of Care |
| 8 | Home Care or Domiciliary Care |
| 9 | Housing Related Schemes |

| 10 | Integrated Care Planning and Navigation |
|----|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 11 | Bed based intermediate Care Services |
| | Sea Sasea mice.meanate saire services |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 12 | Reablement in a persons own home |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 13 | Personalised Budgeting and Commissioning |
| | |
| 14 | Personalised Care at Home |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| 15 | Prevention / Early Intervention |
|----|---------------------------------|
| 16 | Residential Placements |
| 17 | Other |

| Sub type |
|--|
| 1. Telecare |
| 2. Wellness services |
| 3. Digital participation services |
| 4. Community based equipment |
| 5. Other |
| 1. Carer advice and support |
| 2. Independent Mental Health Advocacy |
| 3. Other |
| 1. Respite services |
| 2. Other |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1. Integrated neighbourhood services |
| 2. Multidisciplinary teams that are supporting independence, such as anticipatory care |
| 3. Low level support for simple hospital discharges (Discharge to Assess pathway 0) |
| 4. Other |
| |
| |
| |
| 1. Adaptations, including statutory DFG grants |
| 2. Discretionary use of DFG - including small adaptations |
| 3. Handyperson services |
| 4. Other |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| 1. Data Integration 2. System IT Interoperability 3. Programme management 4. Research and evaluation 5. Workforce development 6. Community asset mapping 7. New governance arrangements 8. Voluntary Sector Business Development 9. Employment services 10. Joint commissioning infrastructure 11. Integrated models of provision 12. Other 1. Early Discharge Planning 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
|---|
| 3. Programme management 4. Research and evaluation 5. Workforce development 6. Community asset mapping 7. New governance arrangements 8. Voluntary Sector Business Development 9. Employment services 10. Joint commissioning infrastructure 11. Integrated models of provision 12. Other 1. Early Discharge Planning 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 4. Research and evaluation 5. Workforce development 6. Community asset mapping 7. New governance arrangements 8. Voluntary Sector Business Development 9. Employment services 10. Joint commissioning infrastructure 11. Integrated models of provision 12. Other 1. Early Discharge Planning 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 5. Workforce development 6. Community asset mapping 7. New governance arrangements 8. Voluntary Sector Business Development 9. Employment services 10. Joint commissioning infrastructure 11. Integrated models of provision 12. Other 1. Early Discharge Planning 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 6. Community asset mapping 7. New governance arrangements 8. Voluntary Sector Business Development 9. Employment services 10. Joint commissioning infrastructure 11. Integrated models of provision 12. Other 1. Early Discharge Planning 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 7. New governance arrangements 8. Voluntary Sector Business Development 9. Employment services 10. Joint commissioning infrastructure 11. Integrated models of provision 12. Other 1. Early Discharge Planning 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 8. Voluntary Sector Business Development 9. Employment services 10. Joint commissioning infrastructure 11. Integrated models of provision 12. Other 1. Early Discharge Planning 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 9. Employment services 10. Joint commissioning infrastructure 11. Integrated models of provision 12. Other 1. Early Discharge Planning 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 10. Joint commissioning infrastructure 11. Integrated models of provision 12. Other 12. Other 13. Early Discharge Planning 14. Early Discharge Planning 15. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 16. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 17. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 18. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 18. Trusted Assessment 18. Engagement and Choice 18. Improved discharge to Care Homes 19. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 11. Integrated models of provision 12. Other 1. Early Discharge Planning 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 1. Early Discharge Planning 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 1. Early Discharge Planning 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 2. Monitoring and responding to system demand and capacity 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 3. Multi-Disciplinary/Multi-Agency Discharge Teams supporting discharge 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 4. Home First/Discharge to Assess - process support/core costs 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 5. Flexible working patterns (including 7 day working) 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 6. Trusted Assessment 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 7. Engagement and Choice 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 8. Improved discharge to Care Homes 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 9. Housing and related services 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 10. Red Bag scheme 11. Other |
| 11. Other |
| |
| |
| 1. Domiciliary care packages |
| 2. Domiciliary care to support hospital discharge (Discharge to Assess pathway 1) |
| 3. Domiciliary care workforce development |
| 4. Other |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| 1. Care navigation and planning |
|---|
| 2. Assessment teams/joint assessment |
| 3. Support for implementation of anticipatory care |
| 4. Other |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 1. Step down (discharge to assess pathway-2) |
| 2. Step up |
| 3. Rapid/Crisis Response |
| 4. Other |
| 4. Other |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Preventing admissions to acute setting |
| 2. Reablement to support discharge -step down (Discharge to Assess pathway 1) |
| 3. Rapid/Crisis Response - step up (2 hr response) |
| 4. Reablement service accepting community and discharge referrals |
| 5. Other |
| |
| |
| 1 Mantal haalth /wallhaina |
| 1. Mental health /wellbeing |
| 2. Physical health/wellbeing |
| 3. Other |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

| 1. Social Prescribing |
|--|
| 2. Risk Stratification |
| 3. Choice Policy |
| 4. Other |
| |
| 1. Supported living |
| 2. Supported accommodation |
| 3. Learning disability |
| 4. Extra care |
| 5. Care home |
| 6. Nursing home |
| 7. Discharge from hospital (with reablement) to long term residential care (Discharge to Assess Pathway 3) |
| 8. Other |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Description

Using technology in care processes to supportive self-management, maintenance of independence and more efficient and effective delivery of care. (eg. Telecare, Wellness services, Community based equipment, Digital participation services).

Funding planned towards the implementation of Care Act related duties. The specific scheme sub types reflect specific duties that are funded via the CCG minimum contribution to the BCF.

Supporting people to sustain their role as carers and reduce the likelihood of crisis.

This might include respite care/carers breaks, information, assessment, emotional and physical support, training, access to services to support wellbeing and improve independence.

Schemes that are based in the community and constitute a range of cross sector practitioners delivering collaborative services in the community typically at a neighbourhood/PCN level (eg: Integrated Neighbourhood Teams)

Reablement services should be recorded under the specific scheme type 'Reablement in a person's own home'

The DFG is a means-tested capital grant to help meet the costs of adapting a property; supporting people to stay independent in their own homes.

The grant can also be used to fund discretionary, capital spend to support people to remain independent in their own homes under a Regulatory Reform Order, if a published policy on doing so is in place. Schemes using this flexibility can be recorded under 'discretionary use of DFG' or 'handyperson services' as appropriate

Schemes that build and develop the enabling foundations of health, social care and housing integration, encompassing a wide range of potential areas including technology, workforce, market development (Voluntary Sector Business Development: Funding the business development and preparedness of local voluntary sector into provider Alliances/ Collaboratives) and programme management related schemes.

Joint commissioning infrastructure includes any personnel or teams that enable joint commissioning. Schemes could be focused on Data Integration, System IT Interoperability, Programme management, Research and evaluation, Supporting the Care Market, Workforce development, Community asset mapping, New governance arrangements, Voluntary Sector Development, Employment services, Joint commissioning infrastructure amongst others.

The eight changes or approaches identified as having a high impact on supporting timely and effective discharge through joint working across the social and health system. The Hospital to Home Transfer Protocol or the 'Red Bag' scheme, while not in the HICM, is included in this section.

A range of services that aim to help people live in their own homes through the provision of domiciliary care including personal care, domestic tasks, shopping, home maintenance and social activities. Home care can link with other services in the community, such as supported housing, community health services and voluntary sector services.

This covers expenditure on housing and housing-related services other than adaptations; eg: supported housing units.

Care navigation services help people find their way to appropriate services and support and consequently support self-management. Also, the assistance offered to people in navigating through the complex health and social care systems (across primary care, community and voluntary services and social care) to overcome barriers in accessing the most appropriate care and support. Multi-agency teams typically provide these services which can be online or face to face care navigators for frail elderly, or dementia navigators etc. This includes approaches such as Anticipatory Care, which aims to provide holistic, co-ordinated care for complex individuals.

Integrated care planning constitutes a co-ordinated, person centred and proactive case management approach to conduct joint assessments of care needs and develop integrated care plans typically carried out by professionals as part of a multi-disciplinary, multi-agency teams.

Note: For Multi-Disciplinary Discharge Teams related specifically to discharge, please select HICM as scheme type and the relevant sub-type. Where the planned unit of care delivery and funding is in the form of Integrated care packages and needs to be expressed in such a manner, please select the appropriate sub-type alongside.

Short-term intervention to preserve the independence of people who might otherwise face unnecessarily prolonged hospital stays or avoidable admission to hospital or residential care. The care is person-centred and often delivered by a combination of professional groups. Four service models of intermediate care are: bed-based intermediate care, crisis or rapid response (including falls), home-based intermediate care, and reablement or rehabilitation. Home-based intermediate care is covered in Scheme-A and the other three models are available on the sub-types.

Provides support in your own home to improve your confidence and ability to live as independently as possible

Various person centred approaches to commissioning and budgeting, including direct payments.

Schemes specifically designed to ensure that a person can continue to live at home, through the provision of health related support at home often complemented with support for home care needs or mental health needs. This could include promoting self-management/expert patient, establishment of 'home ward' for intensive period or to deliver support over the longer term to maintain independence or offer end of life care for people. Intermediate care services provide shorter term support and care interventions as opposed to the ongoing support provided in this scheme type.

Services or schemes where the population or identified high-risk groups are empowered and activated to live well in the holistic sense thereby helping prevent people from entering the care system in the first place. These are essentially upstream prevention initiatives to promote independence and well being.

Residential placements provide accommodation for people with learning or physical disabilities, mental health difficulties or with sight or hearing loss, who need more intensive or specialised support than can be provided at home.

Where the scheme is not adequately represented by the above scheme types, please outline the objectives and services planned for the scheme in a short description in the comments column.

6. Metrics

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Tower Hamlets

8.1 Avoidable admissions

| | 19-20 Actual | 20-21 Actual | | Overview Narrative |
|---|--|-----------------|-------|---|
| Unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions (NHS Outcome Framework indicator 2.3i) | Available from NHS Digital (link below) at local authority level. Please use as guideline only | | 239.4 | Methodology to 2021-22 plan: To develop the 2021-22 plan, we took the average reduction from 2018-19 to 2019-20 across Tower Hamlets and applied this to 2021-22. We have not used 2020-21 as a baseline year as we saw a significant reduction in non-elective admissions and A&E in our dataset, but this |

reducing rates of unplanned hospitalisation for chronic
s ambulatory sensitive conditions, including any assessment
of how the schemes and enabling activity for Health and
Social Care Integration are expected to impact on the
metric.

Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for

>> link to NHS Digital webpage

8.2 Length of Stay

| | | 21-22 Q3 | | |
|---|---|----------|------|--|
| | | Plan | Plan | Comments |
| Percentage of in patients, resident in the HWB, who have been an inpatient in an acute hospital for: i) 14 days or more ii) 21 days or more As a percentage of all inpatients | Proportion of inpatients resident for 14 days or more | 8.0% | 8.1% | Methodology to 2021-22 plan: -14 days or more — We took the national data for LoS and used the forecast provided by the BCF national team. By Q4 we are expecting 14 days to be at 8.1%. This is a stretch compared to 2020-21 which was at 8.6% and a stretch against baseline year 2019-20 which was at 8.5% |
| (SUS data - available on the Better Care Exchange) | Proportion of inpatients resident for 21 days or more | 4.4% | | by Q4. Our trajectory is lower than the national average of 12.6% in 2019-20 and 11.8% in 2020-21, and lower than the London average which was at 12.1% in 2019/20 |

Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for reducing the percentage of hospital inpatients with a long length of stay (14 days or over and 21 days and over) including a rationale for the ambitions that sets out how these have been reached in partnership with local hospital trusts, and an assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity in the BCF are expected to impact on the metric. See the main planning requirements document for more information.

8.3 Discharge to normal place of residence

| | 21-22 Plan | Comments |
|---|---------------|--|
| Percentage of people, resident in the HWB, who are discharged from acute hospital to their normal place of residence (SUS data - available on the Better Care Exchange) | 96.5% | Methodology to 2021-22 plan: We used the national data and the forecast provided for the 2021-22 plan (sep-21 to mar-22) by the national BCF team which shows our forecasted performance as 96.5%. This is higher compared to the same period in 2019-20 and 2020-21. In 2019-20 the average discharge to normal |

Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for improving the percentage of people who return to their normal place of residence on discharge from acute hospital, including a rationale for how the ambition was reached and an assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity in the BCF are expected to impact on the metric. See the main planning requirements document for more information.

8.4 Residential Admissions

| | | 19-20 Plan | | 20-21 Actual | Comments |
|--|-------------|---------------|--------|-----------------|---|
| Long-term support needs of older people (age 65 and over) met by | Annual Rate | 480 | 460 | 317 | The target rate was exceeded in 20/21 for permanent admissions. A rate of 316.9 was achieved. This year we |
| admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 | Numerator | 98 | 96 | 69 | have set our target rate at 350. We are currently within the target performance range though winter pressures |
| population | Denominator | 20,354 | 20,859 | 21,771 | may impact. Hospital occupancy rates remain very high so there are significant pressures on bed spaces and an |

Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for reducing rates of admission to residential and nursing homes for people over the age of 65, including any assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity for Health and Social Care Integration are expected to impact on the metric.

Long-term support needs of older people (age 65 and over) met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population (aged 65+) population projections are based on a calendar year using the 2018 based Sub-National Population Projections for Local Authorities in England:

https://www.ons.gov.uk/releases/subnationalpopulationprojectionsforengland2018based

8.5 Reablement

| | | 19-20 | 19-20 |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | Plan | Actual |
| Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement / rehabilitation services | Annual (%) Numerator Denominator | 83.1% 108 | 67.6% 69 |

| 21-22 | |
|-------|--|
| Plan | Comments |
| | Note that in 2020-21 our reported performance on this |
| | metric was 74% (184/248). Usually, only a small number |
| | of people are discharged to reablement each month so |
| 267 | the numbers fluctuate. In the year to date (1/4/21 to |
| | 31/10/21) there are reported to be 202 discharges to |
| 346 | reablement and in 156 reported instances, the person |

Please set out the overall plan in the HWB area for increasing the proportion of older people who are still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation, including any assessment of how the schemes and enabling activity for Health and Social Care Integration are expected to impact on the metric.

Please note that due to the splitting of Northamptonshire, information from previous years will not reflect the present geographies. As such, all pre-populated figures above for Northamptonshire have been combined.

For North Northamptonshire HWB and West Northamptonshire HWB, please comment on individual HWBs rather than Northamptonshire as a whole.

7. Confirmation of Planning Requirements

Selected Health and Wellbeing Board:

Tower Hamlets

| Theme | Code | Planning Requirement | Key considerations for meeting the planning requirement These are the Key Lines of Enquiry (KLOEs) underpinning the Planning Requirements (PR) | Confirmed through | Please confirm whether your BCF plan meets the Planning Requirement? | Please note any supporting documents referred to and relevant page numbers to assist the assurers | Where the Planning requirement is not met, please note the actions in place towards meeting the requirement | Where the Planning requirement is not met, please note the anticipated timeframe for meeting it |
|---|------|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| | PR1 | A jointly developed and agreed plan that all parties sign up to | Has a plan; jointly developed and agreed between CCG(s) and LA; been submitted? Has the HWB approved the plan/delegated approval pending its next meeting? Have local partners, including providers, VCS representatives and local authority service leads (including housing and DFG leads) been involved in the development of the plan? Where the narrative section of the plan has been agreed across more than one HWB, have individual income, expenditure and metric sections of the plan been submitted for each HWB concerned? | Cover sheet Cover sheet Narrative plan Validation of submitted plans | Yes | | | |
| NC1: Jointly agreed plan | PR2 | A clear narrative for the integration of health and social care A strategic, joined up plan for DFG spending | Is there a narrative plan for the HWB that describes the approach to delivering integrated health and social care that describes: * How the area will continue to implement a joined-up approach to integrated, person-centred services across health, care, housing and wider public services locally. * The approach to collaborative commissioning * The overarching approach to support people to remain independent at home, and how BCF funding will be used to support this. * How the plan will contribute to reducing health inequalities and inequalities for people with protected characteristics? This should include - How equality impacts of the local BCF plan have been considered, - Changes to local priorities related to health inequality and equality, including as a result of the COVID 19 pandemic, and how activities in the BCF plan will address these | | Yes | | | |
| | | | home? In two tier areas, has: Agreement been reached on the amount of DFG funding to be passed to district councils to cover statutory Disabled Facilities Grants? or The funding been passed in its entirety to district councils? | | Yes | | | |
| NC2: Social Care Maintenance | PR4 | A demonstration of how the area will maintain the level of spending on social care services from the CCG minimum contribution to the fund in line with the uplift in the overall contribution | Does the total spend from the CCG minimum contribution on social care match or exceed the minimum required contribution (auto-validated on the planning template)? | Auto-validated on the planning template | Yes | | | |
| NC3: NHS commissioned Out of Hospital Services | PR5 | Has the area committed to spend at equal to or above the minimum allocation for NHS commissioned out of hospital services from the CCG minimum BCF contribution? | Does the total spend from the CCG minimum contribution on non-acute, NHS commissioned care exceed the minimum ringfence (auto- validated on the planning template)? | Auto-validated on the planning template | Yes | | | |
| NC4: Plan for improving outcomes for people being discharged from hospital | PR6 | is there an agreed approach to support safe and timely discharge from hospital and continuing to embed a home first approach? | Does the BCF plan demonstrate an agreed approach to commissioning services to support discharge and home first including: support for sale and timely discharge, and implementation of home first? Does the expenditure plan detail how expenditure from BCF funding sources supports this approach through the financial year? Is there confirmation that plans for discharge have been developed and agreed with Hospital Trusts? | Narrative plan assurance Expenditure tab Narrative plan | Yes | | | |

| Agreed expenditure plan for all elements of the | PR7 | components of the Better Care Fund pool that are earmarked for a purpose are being planned to be used for that purpose? | | Expenditure tab Expenditure plans and confirmation sheet Narrative plans and confirmation sheet | Yes | | |
|---|-----|--|--|---|-----|--|--|
| BCF | PR8 | | - has following to the rolewing front rice Co-Controlution been dentified to the area Implementation of Care Act duties - Funding dedicated to carer-specific support? - Reablement? - Nave stretching metrics been agreed locally for all BCF metrics? | Metrics tab | | | |
| Metrics | РК8 | and are there clear and ambitious plans for delivering these? | In a vertecting metrics been agreed locally for all LCF metrics? Is there a clear narrative for each metric describing the approach locally to meeting the ambition set for that metric, including how BCF expenditure will support performance against each metric? Are ambitions across hospital trusts and HWBs for reducing the proportion of inpatients that have been in hospital for 21 days aligned, and is this set out in the rationale? Have hospital trusts and HWBs developed and agreed plans jointly for reducing the proportion of inpatients that have been in hospital for 1d days or more and 21 days or more? | | Yes | | |